

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,616	03/08/2005	Kikuo Makase	ADACHI-001XX	7247
28452 BOURQUE &	7590 11/08/200 ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS, P.A. 835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 301 MANCHESTER, NH 03104			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/527,616	MAKASE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	

	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Samuel M. Heinrich</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Attorney Andrew R. Martin</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>07 November 2007</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 2</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: All references used in the non-final rejection mailed 07/17/2007.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER. TO				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/527,616

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney stated JP354058641A does not pertain to flange welding as described in the instant claims. Examiner stated JP354058641A describes prevention of stress accumulation with reduction or elimination of the converging of weld lines. Attorney stated JP354058641A may not be properly combinable with other references used in the rejection. Examiner stated that this reference is related to reduction of build-up of stresses and that although JP354058641A is directed to butt welds, the application of JP354058641A to stress reduction in sheet metal welding such as Applicant's Prior Art Figures 4A and 4B would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art. Attorney asked for clarification of some of the 35 USC 112 2nd rejections. Examiner stated that the welding path and crater features need further description in order to provide clear understanding.